

EXHIBIT D

United States of America vs. Brian David Hill

pro se Motion of Discovery pro se

pro se I, Brian David Hill, file a pro se motion of Discovery
pro se for the deposition of character witnesses for a
pro se hearing to determine my character and the
pro se risk I present to the community, to society as
pro se a whole. The witnesses I wish to present are
pro se NC state Rep. Bryan Holloway, Roberta Ruth Hill,
pro se Stella Forinash, Kenneth Forinash, and James
pro se Mercer. Any more witnesses that wish to appear
pro se at this hearing may do so upon court approval.
pro se The recommended questions are whether I
pro se been around children a lot, whether I made attempts
pro se to be around children, each witnesses recommendation
pro se on my release back to society, and any risk I am
pro se to the community. This will help to determine
pro se whether I am a sexually dangerous character
pro se as defined by the Adam Walsh Act. Upon clear and
pro se convincing evidence that I am not a sexually dangerous
pro se person, that it is recommended that I am not
pro se to register as a sex offender. This I request
pro se the court. Respectfully and humbly submitted with the
pro se court on June 7, 2014. Executed on June 7, 2014.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Defendant - Brian David Hill
admin@uswgo.com
276-632-2599

United States of America vs. Brian David Hill

Motion Of Evidence

I, Brian David Hill, file a pro se motion of evidence for all pending suppression motions to establish a clear basis for such suppression motions. All documents in the envelope this motion was sealed in is the evidence this motion will introduce for the suppression motions. The source is from the North Carolina Criminal law and procedure 2011 Edition from LexisNexis. Arguably since the beginning of the Maydan police investigation was state based, then both federal and state rules apply to the August 29 2012 confession, August 28, 2012 search warrant, and the state criminal laboratory forensic reports under the NC DOJ and the SBI. So the state crime lab policies and procedure process apply to the state forensic reports in my case. State law and federal law applies to the confession. Both federal and state law applies to the search warrant. Also the evidence points to the possible involvement of Buckingham County District Attorney which was Phil Berger Jr. in 2012 and 2013. The evidence is hereby submitted to the court. Respectfully and humbly submitted to the court on June 7, 2014. Executed on June 7, 2014.

United States of America vs. Brian David Hill

Motion to Declare
I, Brian David Hill, declare under penalty of perjury
that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June, 7, 2014.

Declaration to be used in the
Motion for suppression of Confession.

My statement:

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill
(Defendant)

I withdraw my confession made on August 24,
2012 to detectives Todd Brim and Robert Bridge
and I will state why.

■ On Aug. 28, 2012 the day of the raid I was in
shock and felt terrified in total fear. I was also
threatened by Charles Caruso to make the
confession. I was under duress.

■ I made the confession falsely to protect my mom
who I knew was innocent since I was afraid she
would be set up instead of me had I not gave the
detectives what they wanted to hear.

■ I did not have access to my insulin when I was at
the police station. My blood sugar level affects my
thinking.

■ I am mentally disabled yet I was not provided a
advocate for my autism during the interrogation.

■ The detectives manipulated me knowing I have
autism, that I am mentally disabled.

This I submit Respectfully to the court on June 7

United States of America vs. Brian David Hill

Notes for case examination:

PRO SP ■ Gerald Thomas SBI Agent was referenced to being involved with Robert Bridge to get a

PRO SE administrative subpoena to get the ISP to reveal the IP Address registered user. ■

PRO SE Gerald Thomas was caught in 2011 in news article publications to have manufactured evidence in a murder case. Search up these articles on Google and you will find them.

PRO SE ■ The connectors in such a small town make it more easy for a investigation to be more influenced.

PRO SE ■ For the search warrant to have contradictions should also be of great concern to the court during a Franks hearing.

PRO SE ■ In 2012 using IP 24.148.256.211, political emails were sent to the town clerk, town Manager, and state senator and town attorney Phil Berger Sr. prior to the raid. Every email sent out contains the users assigned IP Address. Upon any reason that there is evidence that the IP Address was manually added into Boca Raton Child Protection System then this casts doubt in the state search warrant affidavit by Robert Bridge.

PRO SE ■ Brian David Hill has no history of being around children and likes women. Doesn't even try to be around kids.

PRO SE June 7, 2014

Brian David Hill - Defendant

Brian David Hill signed

Motion of ex parte

One sided argument before the court. ^{pro se} ^{pro se motion.}
According to NC General statutes Chapter 8: Evidence,
Article 7C, Section 8-58.20, paragraph 1, the district

attorney presiding over the district of Rockingham
county would most likely be involved in the state

investigation in my case. As I written negative news
articles on Phil Berger Sr. was involved with him at

the Mayodan town council on various dates in 2012
and published a Youtube video around the time that

would embarrass his campaign, and accused him on
USWGP.COM of corruptly violating his oath of office.

would be a major possibility of a conflict of inter
since he, Phil Berger Jr. can easily be influenced

his father to investigate any threats to his father
campaign. Right after the July 9, 2012 Mayo-

town council meeting which I attended and got
escorted out then threatened and intimidated by po-

chief Caruso, then all the sudden for the first ti
in six years I lived in Mayodan my mom was pull

over by the Mayodan police on July 12, 2012 w
walking to Dollar General for me she was asked

questions and she was told they were looking
for me and pulled her over while she was wa

by my ex-neighbors house. Mayodan was inve
me in July even though the search warrant

Mayodan detective Todd Brim got involved in A
due to a tip from Robert Bridge.

Motion of ex parte

Then with my heavy involvement in the Mayodan town Municipal government in 2012, with prior political involvement with Charles Caruso, then him threatening me on July 9, 2012 he as the police chief, Mayodan Police Department should not have raided my home for a federal interstate matter due to risks of conflict of interest, bias, and making it a personal matter. It should have been the SBI or FBI. The detectives went through the computers themselves then me and my mom were told that, which was before it was sent to the state crime lab and they are not computer forensic examiners. In fact MPD does not have a controlled computer forensic facility. The evidence should have been sent directly to the state crime lab but it wasn't. This is how police set people ~~up~~ up, framing people for crimes they don't commit. The evidence in my case is not credible and has been tampered with. This is the first child porn case dealing with Mayodan Police Department that I know of. Phil Berger Sr. the NC state senator is the Mayodan town attorney in 2012. Everything I am saying here is the truth, that this case may have foul play. There needs to be a proper court ordered investigation before this goes to trial. Respectfully and humbly submitted to the court on June 7, 2014. Executed on June 7, 2014. Brian David Hill-Defendant

Brian D. H